

Capitalism And The Birth Of Industrial Revolution In Great Britain: Lessons For Nigeria

Mukhtar imam¹, mohammed jibril bamalli² and chubado babbi tijjani³

Department of political science and international relations, Nile University, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Mukhtar imam

Abstract: *The paper Capitalism and the birth of industrial revolution in Great Britain; lessons for Nigeria is aimed at elucidating the very important and crucial role of the rise and spread of capitalism using the industrial revolution of great Britain as a driving force. The paper tries to analytically x-ray the imperativeness of the revolution in the great Britain and how it has impacted upon economies of countries around the world, it goes further to discuss the issues surrounding the revolution and also of capitalism and tries to highlight some of the major importance of the industrial revolution in great Britain to Nigeria and her economy. The paper uses content analysis to make an in-depth analysis of the events that have unfolded leading to the period of the industrial revolution in Britain. Development theories were used as bedrock for building the assumptions drawn in the paper. The paper concludes that Nigeria has drawn lessons as has most other economies from the industrial revolution in Great Britain. It therefore recommends amongst other things that there is so much more the Nigerian people and government can do to in terms of drawing lessons from the much talked about industrial revolution in the great Britain.*

Keywords: *Capitalism, Birth, Industrial, Revolution, Great Britain, Lessons, Nigeria*

Date of Submission: 08-08-2017

Date of acceptance: 25-11-2017

I. Introduction

What Is Industrialization?

In Economics, the term industrialization is usually used to connote a condition marked by an increase in the importance of industry to an economy. The process of industrialization describes the transition from an agricultural society to one based on industry. It is argued that during the process of industrialization, per capita income (level of income per person) rises and productivity levels also increase.

What is the Industrial Revolution?

The term industrial Revolution (IR) is usually used in economic thought to describe the widespread replacement of manual labour by machines that began in Britain in the 18th century and is still continuing in some parts of the World. According to Mokyr (2001), the industrial revolution is argued to have taken place roughly from 1760 to 1830. The revolution consisted of a set of technological, economic and economic and social changes that in the long run revolutionized not just the British economy but the rest of Western Europe, North America and eventually much of the rest of the World. The most immediate changes of the industrial revolution were in the nature of production; what was produced, as well as where and how.

What Stimulated the Industrial Revolution?

The industrial revolution began in Great Britain because social, political, and legal conditions there were particularly favourable to change. There were particularly important improvements in transportation, such as faster ships, and communication, especially printing which played a key role in the development of the industrial revolution.

There were spectacular changes in industry and as Stigler (1965) would argue, iron and steel manufacture, the production of steam engines, and textiles were all powerful influences on Britain's industrialization experience. However, Derry and Williams (1987) argue that the industrial revolution was stimulated by factors remote from technology including the fact that there was no civil strife in England, the presence of a large middle class and the fact that government favoured trade

A Detailed Analysis of Capitalism and the Industrial Revolution in Great Britain

Developments in 19th-century Europe are bounded by two great events. The French Revolution broke out in 1789, and its effects reverberated throughout much of Europe for many decades. World War I began in 1914. Its inception resulted from many trends in European society, culture, and diplomacy during the late 19th

century. In between these boundaries—the one opening a new set of trends, the other bringing long-standing tensions to a head—much of modern Europe was defined. Europe during this 125-year span was both united and deeply divided. A number of basic cultural trends, including new literary styles and the spread of science, ran through the entire continent. European states were increasingly locked in diplomatic interaction, culminating in continent-wide alliance systems after 1871. At the same time, this was a century of growing nationalism, in which individual states jealously protected their identities and indeed established more rigorous border controls than ever before. Finally, the European continent was to an extent divided between two zones of differential development. Changes such as the Industrial Revolution and political liberalization spread first and fastest in Western Europe—Britain, France, the Low Countries, Scandinavia, and, to an extent, Germany and Italy.

Eastern and southern Europe, more rural at the outset of the period, changed more slowly and in somewhat different ways. Europe witnessed important common patterns and increasing interconnections, but these developments must be assessed in terms of nation-state divisions and, even more, of larger regional differences. Some trends, including the on-going impact of the French Revolution, ran through virtually the entire 19th century. Other characteristics, however, had a shorter life span. Some historians prefer to divide 19th-century history into relatively small chunks. Thus, 1789–1815 is defined by the French Revolution and Napoleon; 1815–48 forms a period of reaction and adjustment; 1848–71 is dominated by a new round of revolution and the unifications of the German and Italian nations; and 1871–1914, an age of imperialism, is shaped by new kinds of political debate and the pressures that culminated in war. Overriding these important markers, however, a simpler division can also be useful. Between 1789 and 1849 Europe dealt with the forces of political revolution and the first impact of the Industrial Revolution. Between 1849 and 1914 a fuller industrial society emerged, including new forms of states and of diplomatic and military alignments. The mid-19th century, in either formulation, looms as a particularly important point of transition within the extended 19th century.

II. Economic effects

Undergirding the development of modern Europe between the 1780s and 1849 was an unprecedented economic transformation that embraced the first stages of the great Industrial Revolution and a still more general expansion of commercial activity. Articulate Europeans were initially more impressed by the screaming political news generated by the French Revolution and ensuing Napoleonic Wars, but in retrospect the economic upheaval, which related in any event to political and diplomatic trends, has proved more fundamental. Major economic change was spurred by Western Europe's tremendous population growth during the late 18th century, extending well into the 19th century itself. Between 1750 and 1800, the populations of major countries increased between 50 and 100 percent, chiefly as a result of the use of new food crops (such as the potato) and a temporary decline in epidemic disease. Population growth of this magnitude compelled change. Peasant and artisanal children found their paths to inheritance blocked by sheer numbers and thus had to seek new forms of paying labour. Families of businessmen and landlords also had to innovate to take care of unexpectedly large surviving broods. These pressures occurred in a society already attuned to market transactions, possessed of an active merchant class, and blessed with considerable capital and access to overseas markets as a result of existing dominance in world trade. Heightened commercialization showed in a number of areas. Vigorous peasants increased their landholdings, often at the expense of their less fortunate neighbours, who swelled the growing ranks of the near-property less. These peasants, in turn, produced food for sale in growing urban markets. Domestic manufacturing soared, as hundreds of thousands of rural producers worked full- or part-time to make thread and cloth, nails and tools under the sponsorship of urban merchants. Craft work in the cities began to shift toward production for distant markets, which encouraged artisan-owners to treat their journeymen less as fellow workers and more as wage labourers. Europe's social structure changed toward a basic division, both rural and urban, between owners and no owners. Production expanded, leading by the end of the 18th century to a first wave of consumerism as rural wage earners began to purchase new kinds of commercially produced clothing, while urban middle-class families began to indulge in new tastes, such as uplifting books and educational toys for children.

In this context an outright industrial revolution took shape, led by Britain, which retained leadership in industrialization well past the middle of the 19th century. In 1840, British steam engines were generating 620,000 horsepower out of a European total of 860,000. Nevertheless, though delayed by the chaos of the French Revolution and Napoleonic Wars, many western European nations soon followed suit; thus, by 1860 British steam-generated horsepower made up less than half the European total, with France, Germany, and Belgium gaining ground rapidly. Governments and private entrepreneurs worked hard to imitate British technologies after 1820, by which time an intense industrial revolution was taking shape in many parts of Western Europe, particularly in coal-rich regions such as Belgium, northern France, and the Ruhr area of Germany. German pig iron production, a mere 40,000 tons in 1825, soared to 150,000 tons a decade later and

reached 250,000 tons by the early 1850s. French coal and iron output doubled in the same span—huge changes in national capacities and the material bases of life.

Technological change soon spilled over from manufacturing into other areas. Increased production heightened demands on the transportation system to move raw materials and finished products. Massive road and canal building programs were one response, but steam engines also were directly applied as a result of inventions in Britain and the United States. Steam shipping plied major waterways soon after 1800 and by the 1840s spread to oceanic transport. Railroad systems, first developed to haul coal from mines, were developed for intercity transport during the 1820s; the first commercial line opened between Liverpool and Manchester in 1830. During the 1830s local rail networks fanned out in most western European countries and national systems were planned in the following decade, to be completed by about 1870. In communication, the invention of the telegraph allowed faster exchange of news and commercial information than ever before.

New organization of business and labour was intimately linked to the new technologies. Workers in the industrialized sectors laboured in factories rather than in scattered shops or homes. Steam and water power required a concentration of labour close to the power source. Concentration of labour also allowed new discipline and specialization, which increased productivity. The new machinery was expensive, and businessmen setting up even modest factories had to accumulate substantial capital through partnerships, loans from banks, or joint-stock ventures. While relatively small firms still predominated, and managerial bureaucracies were limited save in a few heavy industrial giants, a tendency toward expansion of the business unit was already noteworthy. Commerce was affected in similar ways, for new forms had to be devised to dispose of growing levels of production. Small shops replaced itinerant peddlers in villages and small towns. In Paris, the department store, introduced in the 1830s, ushered in an age of big business in the trading sector. Urbanization was a vital result of growing commercialization and new industrial technology. Factory centres such as Manchester grew from villages into cities of hundreds of thousands in a few short decades. The percentage of the total population located in cities expanded steadily, and big cities tended to displace more scattered centres in Western Europe's urban map. Rapid city growth produced new hardships, for housing stock and sanitary facilities could not keep pace, though innovation responded, if slowly. Gas lighting improved street conditions in the better neighbourhoods from the 1830s onward, and sanitary reformers pressed for underground sewage systems at about this time. For the better-off, rapid suburban growth allowed some escape from the worst urban miseries.

Rural life changed less dramatically. A full-scale technological revolution in the countryside occurred only after the 1850s. Nevertheless, factory-made tools spread widely even before this time, as scythes replaced sickles for harvesting, allowing a substantial improvement in productivity. Larger estates, particularly in commercially minded Britain, began to introduce newer equipment, such as seed drills for planting. Crop rotation, involving the use of nitrogen-fixing plants, displaced the age-old practice of leaving some land fallow, while better seeds and livestock and, from the 1830s, chemical fertilizers improved yields as well. Rising agricultural production and market specialization were central to the growth of cities and factories. The speed of Western Europe's Industrial Revolution should not be exaggerated. By 1850 in Britain, far and away the leader still, only half the total population lived in cities, and there were as many urban craft producers as there were factory hands. Relatively traditional economic sectors, in other words, did not disappear and even expanded in response to new needs for housing construction or food production. Nevertheless, the new economic sectors grew most rapidly, and even other branches displayed important new features as part of the general process of commercialization.

Geographic disparities complicate the picture as well. Belgium and, from the 1840s, many of the German states were well launched on an industrial revolution that brought them steadily closer to British levels. France, poorer in coal, concentrated somewhat more on increasing production in craft sectors, converting furniture making, for example, from an artistic endeavour to standardized output in advance of outright factory forms. Scandinavia and the Netherlands joined the industrial parade seriously only after 1850. Southern and eastern Europe, while importing a few model factories and setting up some local rail lines, generally operated in a different economic orbit. City growth and technological change were both modest until much later in the 19th century, save in pockets of northern Italy and northern Spain. In eastern areas, Western Europe's industrialization had its greatest impact in encouraging growing conversion to market agriculture, as Russia, Poland, and Hungary responded to grain import needs, particularly in the British Isles. As in eastern Prussia, the temptation was to impose new obligations on peasant serfs labouring on large estates, increasing the work requirements in order to meet export possibilities without fundamental technical change and without challenging the hold of the landlord class.

Social upheaval

In Western Europe, economic change produced massive social consequences during the first half of the 19th century. Basic aspects of daily life changed, and work was increasingly redefined. The intensity of change

varied, of course—with factory workers affected most keenly, labourers on the land least—but some of the pressures were widespread. For wage labourers, the autonomy of work declined; more people worked under the daily direction of others. Early textile and metallurgical factories set shop rules, which urged workers to be on time, to stay at their machines rather than wandering around, and to avoid idle singing or chatter (difficult in any event given the noise of the equipment). These rules were increasingly enforced by foremen, who mediated between owners and ordinary labourers. Work speeded up. Machines set the pace, and workers were supposed to keep up: one French factory owner, who each week decorated the most productive machine (not its operators) with a garland of flowers, suggested where the priorities lay. Work, in other words, was to be fast, coordinated, and intense, without the admixture of distractions common in preindustrial labour. Some of these pressures spilled over to nonfactory settings as well, as craft directors tried to urge a higher productivity on journeymen artisans. Duration of work everywhere remained long, up to 14 hours a day, which was traditional but could be oppressive when work was more intense and walking time had to be added to reach the factories in the first place. Women and children were widely used for the less skilled operations; again, this was no novelty, but it was newly troubling now that work was located outside the home and was often more dangerous, given the hazards of unprotected machinery.

The nature of work shifted in the propertied classes as well. Middle-class people, not only factory owners but also merchants and professionals, began to trumpet a new work ethic. According to this ethic, work was the basic human good. He who worked was meritorious and should prosper; he who suffered did so because he did not work. Idleness and frivolity were officially frowned upon. Middle-class stories, for children and adults alike, were filled with uplifting tales of poor people who, by dint of assiduous work, managed to better themselves. In Britain, Samuel Smiles authored this kind of mobility literature, which was widely popular between the 1830s and 1860s. Between 1780 and 1840, Prussian school reading shifted increasingly toward praise of hard work as a means of social improvement, with corresponding scorn for laziness.

Shifts in work context had important implications for leisure. Businessmen who internalized the new work ethic felt literally uncomfortable when not on the job. Overall, the European middle class strove to redefine leisure tastes toward personal improvement and family cohesion; recreation that did not conduce to these ends was dubious. Family reading was a common pastime. Daughters were encouraged to learn piano playing, for music could draw the family together and demonstrate the refinement of its women. Through piano teaching, in turn, a new class of professional musicians began to emerge in the large cities. Middle-class people, newly wealthy, were willing to join in sponsorship of certain cultural events outside the home, such as symphony concerts. Book buying and newspaper reading also were supported, with a tendency to favour serious newspapers that focused on political and economic issues and books that had a certain classic status. Middle-class people also attended informative public lectures and night courses that might develop new work skills in such areas as applied science or management.

Middle-class pressures by no means totally reshaped popular urban leisure habits. Workers had limited time and means for play, but many absented themselves from the factories when they could afford to (often preferring free time over higher earnings, to the despair of their managers). The sheer intensity of work constrained leisure nevertheless. Furthermore, city administrations tried to limit other traditional popular amusements, ranging from gambling to animal contests (bear-baiting, cockfighting) to popular festivals. Leisure of this sort was viewed as unproductive, crude, and—insofar as it massed urban crowds—dangerous to political order. Urban police forces, created during the 1820s in cities like London to provide more professional control over crime and public behaviour, spent much of their time combating popular leisure impulses during the middle decades of the 19th century. Popular habits did not fully accommodate to middle-class standards. Drinking, though disapproved of by middle-class critics, was an important recreational outlet, bringing men together in a semblance of community structure. Bars sprouted throughout working-class sections of town. On the whole, however, the early decades of the Industrial Revolution saw a massive decline of popular leisure traditions; even in the countryside, festivals were diluted by importing paid entertainers from the cities. Leisure did not disappear, but it was increasingly reshaped toward respectable family pastimes or spectatorship at inexpensive concerts or circuses, where large numbers of people paid professional entertainers to take their minds away from the everyday routine.

The growth of cities and industry had a vital impact on family life. The family declined as a production unit as work moved away from home settings. This was true not only for workers but also for middle-class people. Many businessmen setting up a new store or factory in the 1820s initially assumed that their wives would assist them, in the time-honoured fashion in which all family members were expected to pitch in. After the first generation, however, this impulse faded, in part because fashionable homes were located at some distance from commercial sections and needed separate attention. In general, most urban groups tended to respond to the separation of home and work by redefining gender roles, so that married men became the family breadwinners (aided, in the working class, by older children) and women were the domestic specialists.

In the typical working-class family, women were expected to work from their early teens through marriage a decade or so later. The majority of women workers in the cities went into domestic service in middle-class households, but an important minority laboured in factories; another minority became prostitutes. Some women continued working outside the home after marriage, but most pulled back to tasks, such as laundering, that could be done domestically. Their other activities concentrated on shopping for the family (an arduous task on limited budgets), caring for children, and maintaining contacts with other relatives who might support the family socially and provide aid during economic hardships.

Few middle-class women worked in paid employment at any point in their lives. Managing a middle-class household was complex, even with a servant present. Standards of child rearing urged increased maternal attention, and women were also supposed to provide a graceful and comfortable tone for family life. Middle-class ideals held the family to be a sacred place and women its chief agents because of their innate morality and domestic devotion. Men owed the family good manners and the provision of economic security, but their daily interactions became increasingly peripheral. Many middle-class families also began, in the early 19th century, to limit their birth rate, mainly through increasing sexual abstinence. Having too many children could complicate the family's economic well-being and prevent the necessary attention and support for the children who were desired. The middle class thus pioneered a new definition of family size that would ultimately become more widespread in European society.

New family arrangements, both for workers and for middle-class people, suggested new courtship patterns. As wage earners having no access to property, urban workers were increasingly able to form liaisons early in life without waiting for inheritance and without close supervision by a watchful community. Sexual activity began earlier in life than had been standard before the 1780s. Marriage did not necessarily follow, for many workers moved from job to job and some unquestionably exploited female partners who were eager for more durable arrangements. Rates of illegitimate births began to rise rapidly throughout western Europe from about 1780 (from 2 to 4 up to 10 percent of total births) among young rural as well as urban workers. Sexual pleasure, or its quest, became more important for young adults. Similar symptoms developed among some middle-class men, who exploited female servants or the growing numbers of brothels that dotted the large cities and that often did exceptional business during school holidays. Respectable young middle-class women held back from these trends. They were, however, increasingly drawn to beliefs in a romantic marriage, which became part of the new family ideal. Marriage age for middle-class women also dropped, creating an age disparity between men and women in the families of this class. Economic criteria for family formation remained important in many social sectors, but young people enjoyed more freedom in courtship, and other factors, sexual or emotional or both, gained increasing legitimacy. Changes in family life, rooted in shifts in modes of livelihood and methods of work, had substantial impact on all family members. Older people gained new roles, particularly in working-class families, where they helped out as baby-sitters for grandchildren. Women's economic power in the family decreased. Many groups of men argued vigorously that women should stick to family concerns. By the 1830s and '40s one result was the inception of laws that regulated women's hours of work (while leaving men free from protection or constraints); this was a humanitarian move to protect women's family roles, but it also reduced women's economic opportunities on grounds of their special frailty. The position of children also began to be redefined. Middle-class ideals held that children were innocents, to be educated and nurtured. Most working-class families urged a more traditional view of children as contributors to the family economy, but they too could see advantages in sending their children to school where possible and restricting their work in dangerous factories. Again, after the first decades of industrialization, reform laws began to respond. Legislation in Britain, France, and Prussia during the 1830s restricted the employment of young children in the factories and encouraged school attendance.

Along with its impact on daily patterns of life and family institutions, economic change began to shift Europe's social structure and create new antagonisms among urban social classes. The key division lay between the members of the middle class, who owned businesses or acquired professional education, and those of the working class, who depended on the sale of labour for a wage. Neither group was homogeneous. Many middle-class people criticized the profit-seeking behaviour of the new factory owners. Artisans often shunned factory workers and drew distinctions based on their traditional prestige and (usually) greater literacy, some skilled workers, earning good wages, emulated middle-class people, seeking education and acquiring domestic trappings such as pianos. Nevertheless, the social divide was considerable. It increasingly affected residential patterns, as wealthier classes moved away from the crowded slums of the poor, in contrast to the greater mixture in the quarters of preindustrial cities. Middle-class people deplored the work and sexual habits of many workers, arguing that their bad behaviour was the root cause of poverty. City governments enacted harsh measures against beggars, while new national laws attempted to make charity harder to obtain. The British Poor Law Reform of 1834, in particular, tightened the limits on relief in hopes of forcing able-bodied workers to fend for themselves.

Class divisions manifested themselves in protest movements. Middle-class people joined political protests hoping to win new rights against aristocratic monopoly. Workers increasingly organized on their own despite the fact that new laws banned craft organizations and outlawed unions and strikes. Some workers attacked the reliance on machinery in the name of older, more humane traditions of work. Luddite protests of this sort began in Britain during the decade 1810–20. More numerous were groups of craft workers, and some factory hands, who formed incipient trade unions to demand better conditions as well as to provide mutual aid in cases of sickness or other setbacks. National union movements arose in Britain during the 1820s, though they ultimately failed. Huge strikes in the silk industry around Lyon, France, in 1831 and 1834 sought a living minimum wage for all workers. The most ambitious worker movements tended to emphasize a desire to turn back the clock to older work systems where there was greater equality and a greater commitment to craft skill, but most failed. Smaller, local unions did achieve some success in preserving the conditions of the traditional systems. Social protest was largely intermittent because many workers were too poor or too disoriented to mount a larger effort, but it clearly signaled important tensions in the new economic order.

III. Impact of the Industrial Revolution

The industrial revolution is called a revolution because it changed society both significantly and rapidly. Over the course of history, it is arguable that the industrial revolution is one of the most spectacular events in global history.

As economic activities in many communities moved from agriculture to manufacturing, production shifted from its traditional locations in the home and small workshops to factories. Stigler (1965) argues that the overall amount of goods and services produced expanded dramatically, and the proportion of capital invested per worker grew.

In the long run, the industrial revolution brought economic improvement for most people in England with improved health and greater prosperity to people especially in the middle and upper classes of society. Specifically, we can follow Derry and Williams (1987) to argue that the industrial revolution:

1. Made industry to grow four times faster
2. Changed all aspects of society including manufacturing
3. Transformed social classes and
4. Ensured higher standard of living for most.

A Situation Analysis: Industrialization and its Critical Lessons for Nigeria's Development

Industrialization has been known to be associated with growth in real per capita income and overall output growth hence, the maxim that manufacturing is the engine of growth. As a developing country, Nigeria needs industrialization to ensure wealth creation, reduce poverty and inequality gap including Nigeria's back-aching unemployment level.

Nigeria's Experience with Industrialization

Since independence in 1960, Nigeria has made several efforts at industrialization. Even before independence, efforts were made to industrialize the Nigerian economy but these efforts were unco-ordinated and half-hearted (Ahmed, 2005). The Nigerian government recognizes that industrialization is critical to national economic development and has put up policy measures aimed at industrializing the national economy.

Nigeria's Industrial Policy Measures Since 1960

To facilitate Nigeria's industrial development, the Nigerian government has made a lot of efforts aimed at making the country an industrialized economy. Some of these efforts at industrialization include:

1. In the 1967-70 periods, Nigeria pursued primarily a policy of industrialization based on import substitution.
2. From 1974 to 1980, the industrialization strategy changed swiftly from assemblage plants to heavy duty industrial structures.
3. The establishment of the Nigerian Industrial Development Bank (NIDB) and the Nigerian Bank for Commerce and Industry (NBCI) were all aimed at promoting Nigeria's industrialization.
4. The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) credit guidelines prescribed high allocation of credit to the industrial sector.
5. The Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) of 1986 was aimed at diversifying Nigeria's economic base including the productive sector of the economy.
6. In 2009, the Federal Government, through the Bank of Industry (BOI) launched the N100 billion textile and garment industry revival fund.
7. The United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) has made efforts at ensuring Nigeria's industrialization.

Nigeria's Industrial Policy of 1988 and The Bank of Industry

In 1988, Nigeria launched an industrial policy aimed at achieving an accelerated pace of industrial development for the country. The policy was principally targeted at increased export of manufactured goods and promotion of industrial development and national integration through industrial dispersal. In the same vein, the Bank of Industry was established to provide loanable funds to small and medium scale industrialists to facilitate Nigeria's industrialization.

The National Industrial Revolution Plan

In 2012, the Ministry of Trade and Investment announced a plan for the Country's industrialization. The plan is aimed at positioning and empowering the nation's manufacturing sector as the key driver of economic growth through job creation. However, it is very debatable how much these policies have actually imparted Nigeria's industrialization.

An Evaluation on How Much Progress Nigeria Has Made Towards Industrialization

Nigeria's manufacturing, mining and total industrial sectorial index experienced phenomenal growth till 1991, after which there was a nosedive. However, it is to be noted that the investments of oil Multi-national Corporations (MNCs) in the petroleum industry has impacted positively on Nigeria's industrialization. Aside the industrial growth experienced in the petroleum industry, manufacturing essentially has been on the decline. According to Iyoha (2005), for the decade of the 1990s, industrial output contributed a relative share of 49.6% to GDP. There is a rejoinder however and that is the fact that the high level of industrial contribution to GDP in the 1990s was essentially because of favourable oil prices. This is because for the same decade of the 1990s, the relative share of manufacturing production in GDP only managed to average an anaemic 4.96%. Nigeria's manufacturing sector remains at the lowest ebb with the collapse of the iron and steel industry, the textiles industry leaving only the cement industry relatively active.

Challenges to Nigeria's Industrialization

Despite Nigeria's efforts at industrialization, the country has faced many challenges inhibiting industrialization. Ahmed (2005) identified some of these challenges to include:

- I. High geographical concentration
- II. High production cost
- III. Low value added
- IV. Low capacity utilization
- V. High import content of industrial output, and
- VI. Low level of foreign investment in manufacturing.
- VII.

However, apart from these challenges, it is evident that incoherent and inconsistent policy of government towards industrialization has impacted the industrial sector negatively. This is just a way of saying that the government has not mustered enough political will to set Nigeria on the path of industrialization. In the same vein, the power sector which is epileptic has not favoured Nigeria's industrialization. Corruption and inefficiency in the management and subsequent privatization of our strategic iron and steel industry has left it in a comatose state.

18th Century versus 21st Century: Lessons for Nigeria from the English Experience

Earlier on, we established that the industrial revolution began in Great Britain because social, political, and legal conditions were known to be particularly favourable to change. Nigeria has a lot of lessons to learn from the European experience. The lesson here primarily is that Nigeria may not be able to experience her own industrial revolution until social, political and economic conditions become favourable to change. A study of the British industrial revolution shows that the industrialization process was strategic and internally-driven. Nigeria has a lesson to learn from this as true industrialization can only come through the development of the local industry and not by seeking aid and grants that would be misapplied. The East Asian miracle is also a big lesson for Nigeria on how countries can develop their industrial capacity by looking inwards.

How certain is Nigeria's Preparedness for an Industrial Take-Off

Nigeria's industrial take-off cannot be said to be certain since the government is not strategic and co-ordinated in its efforts to industrialize. The lack of political will to implement existing policies is also a big issue. Moreover, the epileptic state of the power sector remains an albatross to Nigeria's industrial take-off. Until, the power problem is fixed, Nigeria's efforts at industrialization would remain a mirage.

Conclusion and Recommendation: The Way Forward for Nigeria's Industrialization

For Nigeria to experience industrialization, conscious efforts must be made in this regard. Moreover, efforts at industrialization must be sincere and internally-driven. In the light of the above, the following recommendations are made:

1. Efforts should be made to fix the power sector to reduce the high cost of production in the manufacturing sub-sector of the industrial sector.
2. Government should promote the growth of small and medium scale industrial outfits as this will help fast track Nigeria's industrialization.
3. Efforts at industrialization should be internally-driven. In this regard, Nigeria should learn from the experience of the East Asian Miracle.
4. Efforts should generally be geared towards the promotion of research and development (R&D) as this is critical to industrialization.
5. Since the industrial revolution (IR) in England did not come from the English Universities but from the workshops, efforts should be made to increase vocational and technical education for the emergence of small and medium scale industrialists who would drive the much –needed industrial growth in Nigeria.
6. Political, economic, legal and social factors must change for Nigeria's industrialization to be possible,
7. There is need for promotion of public-private partnership (PPP) in the efforts at industrialization.

VI. Concluding Remarks

From our analysis above, we agree that the industrialization in England was successful because conditions in England were prepared for change and favourable to industrialization. In the Nigerian case however, apart from positive developments in the foreign investors-dominated petroleum industry and good progress in the cement industry, we find that broad socio-political conditions necessary for industrialization are not in place thereby casting doubt on the country's preparedness to industrialize. As a matter of fact, the country has experienced de-industrialization in the very strategic iron and steel industry and the textile industry. This is the Nigerian experience at present and the verdict is that with what is on grounds at present, Nigeria's preparedness for an industrial revolution is uncertain. It is however unfortunate that what England achieved as far back as the 18th century, Nigeria is not able to achieve in the 21st century.

References

- [1] Afolabi A.B (2008). *Repositioning the Nigerian Economy through Scientific and Technological Innovations*.Africa; Journal of contemporary Issues.
- [2] Clark, Gregory (2001). *The Secret History of the Industrial Revolution*. Department of Economics, UCSD, CA 95616.
- [3] E.U Ahmed (2005): '*Development of Industry and Manufacturing*.'
- [4] In Structure, Growth and Development of the Nigerian Economy. Mindex Publishers. Benin-City.
- [5] Iyoha, M. A (2005). '*An Overview of Leading Issues in the Structure and Development of the Nigerian Economy since 1960*'. In Structure, Growth and Development of the Nigerian Economy. Mindex Publishers. Benin-City.
- [6] Joseph, Onipede (2010): *Technology Development in Nigeria: the Nigerian Machine Tools Industry Experience*. Department of General Studies, LAUTECH.
- [7] Porter, Glenn. '*Industrial Revolution*'. Microsoft Encarta 2009 (DVD). Redmond, WA: Microsoft Corporation, 2008.
- [8] Stigler, Joseph (1965). *The Process and Progress of Economics*. Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago.
- [9] T.K Derry, T.I Williams (1987). *A Short History of Technology*.

Mukhtar imam Capitalism And The Birth Of Industrial Revolution In Great Britain: Lessons For Nigeria. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI), vol. 6, no. 11, 2017, pp. 69-76.