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I. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced by Zadeh [19]. Subsequently, several authors have applied various basic concepts from general topology to fuzzy sets and developed the theory of fuzzy topological spaces. The notion of fuzzy sets naturally plays a very significant role in the study of fuzzy topology introduced by Chang [6]. The concept of generalized semi preregular closed sets was introduced and its properties were studied by Govindappa Navalagi et al [8] in 2010.

In this paper fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed set is introduced and its properties are studied. Further, fgspr-closure, fgspr-interior and fgspr-frontier are also defined and investigated. As an application of these fuzzy sets, two new spaces namely fuzzy semi preregular \(T_{1/2}\) space and fuzzy semi preregular \(T_{3/2}\) space are introduced and studied in fuzzy topological spaces. It is observed that every fuzzy semi preregular \(T_{1/2}\) space is fuzzy semi preregular \(T_{3/2}\) space and also fuzzy \(T_{1/2}\) space.

II. Preliminaries

Let X, Y and Z be fuzzy sets. Throughout this paper \((X, τ)_0\), \((Y, σ)_0\) and \((Z, η)_0\) (or simply \(X, Y\) and \(Z\)) mean fuzzy topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let us recall the following definitions which we shall require later.

**Definition 2.1:** A fuzzy set \(λ\) in a fuzzy topological space \((X, τ)_0\) is called

1. a fuzzy preopen set [4] if \(λ ≤ int(cl(λ))\) and a fuzzy preclosed set if \(cl(int(λ)) ≤ λ\).
2. a fuzzy semi-open set [11] if \(λ ≤ cl(int(λ))\) and a fuzzy semi-closed set if \(int(cl(λ)) ≤ λ\).
3. a fuzzy pre semi-open set [16] if \(λ ≤ cl(int(λ))\) and a fuzzy semi-closed set if \(int(cl(λ)) ≤ λ\).
4. a fuzzy pre semi-closed set [11] if \(λ ≤ cl(int(λ))\) and a fuzzy pre semi-closed set if \(cl(int(λ)) ≤ λ\).
5. a fuzzy \(α\)-open set [4] if \(λ ≤ cl(int(λ))\) and a fuzzy \(α\)-closed set if \(cl(int(λ)) ≤ λ\).
6. a fuzzy regular open set [11] if \(cl(int(λ)) = λ\) and a fuzzy regular closed set if \(int(cl(λ)) = λ\).

**Definition 2.2:** A fuzzy set \(λ\) in a fuzzy topological space \((X, τ)_0\) is called

1. a fuzzy generalized closed set (briefly, fg-closed) [2] if \(cl(λ) ≤ μ\), whenever \(λ ≤ μ\) and \(μ\) is a fuzzy open set in \(X\).
2. a generalized fuzzy semi-closed set (briefly, gfs-closed) [3] if \(scl(λ) ≤ μ\), whenever \(λ ≤ μ\) and \(μ\) is a fuzzy semi-open set in \(X\).
3. a fuzzy regular generalized closed set (briefly, frg-closed) [12] if \(cl(λ) ≤ μ\), whenever \(λ ≤ μ\) and \(μ\) is a fuzzy regular open set in \(X\).
4. a fuzzy semi generalized closed set (briefly, fsg-closed) [10] if \(scl(λ) ≤ μ\), whenever \(λ ≤ μ\) and \(μ\) is a fuzzy semi-open set in \(X\).
5. a fuzzy generalized semi-closed set (briefly, fgs-closed) [14] if \(scl(λ) ≤ μ\), whenever \(λ ≤ μ\) and \(μ\) is a fuzzy open set in \(X\).
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a fuzzy generalized pre closed set (briefly, fgp-closed) [7] if $\text{pcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$.

(7) a fuzzy generalized semi-pre closed set (briefly, fgsp-closed) [13] if $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$.

(8) a fuzzy semi-pre generalized closed set (briefly, fspg-closed) [15] if $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy semi-pre open set in $X$.

(9) a fuzzy generalized preregular closed set (briefly, fgpr-closed) [18] if $\text{pcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy regular open set in $X$.

**Definition 2.3:** A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be

1. a fuzzy $T_{1/2}$-space [2] if every fg-closed is fuzzy closed.
2. a fuzzy semi $T_{1/2}$-space [10] if every fs-closed is fuzzy semi closed.
3. a fuzzy pre $T_{1/2}$-space [5] if every fpg-closed is fuzzy pre closed.
4. a fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$-space [13] if every fsgsp-closed is fuzzy semi-preclosed.
5. a fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/3}$-space [13] if every fgsp-closed is fuzzy semi pre closed.
6. a fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$-space [13] if every fps-closed is fuzzy semi-preclosed.

**III. Fuzzy Generalized Semi Preregular Closed Sets**

In this section, a new class of fuzzy generalized closed sets called a fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed set is defined and studied its properties.

**Definition 3.1:** A fuzzy set $\lambda$ of a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called a fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed set (briefly, fgsp-closed) if $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a fuzzy regular open set in $(X, \tau)$.

By FGSPRC we mean the family of all fgsp-closed subsets of the space $(X, \tau)$.

**Theorem 3.2:** Every fuzzy closed set is a fgsp-closed set.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy closed set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$, where $\mu$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since every fuzzy closed set is a fuzzy semi-pre closed set, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \text{cl}(\lambda) = \lambda \leq \mu$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.3:** Let $X = \{(a, b, c), \lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.2)\} \text{ and } \lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.1)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, 1\}$, then $\lambda_2$ is a fgsp-closed set but not a fuzzy closed set in $X$.

**Theorem 3.4:** Every fuzzy preclosed set is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy preclosed set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$, where $\mu$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since every fuzzy preclosed set is a fuzzy semi preclosed set, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \text{pcl}(\lambda) = \lambda \leq \mu$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.5:** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)\}, \lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.2)\} \text{ and } \lambda_3 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.3)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1\}$, then $\lambda_3$ is a fgsp-closed set but not a fuzzy preclosed set in $X$.

**Theorem 3.6:** Every fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$, where $\mu$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since every fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set is a fuzzy pre closed set, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \text{pcl}(\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda) = \lambda \leq \mu$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.7:** In example 3.5, the fuzzy set $\lambda_3$ is a fgsp-closed set but not a fuzzy $\alpha$-closed set in $X$.

**Theorem 3.8:** Every fuzzy generalized closed set is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy regular generalized closed set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$, where $\mu$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since every fuzzy generalized closed set is fuzzy semi pre closed set, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \text{cl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.9:** In example 3.5, the fuzzy set $\lambda_3$ is a fgsp-closed set but not a fuzzy regular generalized closed set in $X$.

**Theorem 3.10:** Every fuzzy generalized semi-pre closed set is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda$ be a fuzzy generalized semi-pre closed set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$, where $\mu$ is fuzzy regular open in $X$. Since every fuzzy regular open set is fuzzy open set, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu$. Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-closed set in $X$.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.11:** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \alpha = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}, \beta = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}, \gamma = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$ and $\eta = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, 1\}$, then $\alpha$ is a fgsp-closed set but not a fgsp-closed set in $X$. 
Theorem 3.12: Every fgpr-closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fgpr-closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since λ is fgpr-closed, pcl(μ) ≤ μ. Since spcl(λ) ≤ pcl(μ), for any fuzzy set λ, spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.13: In example 3.5, the fuzzy set λ3 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fgpr-closed set in X.

Theorem 3.14: Every fuzzy generalized preclosed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy generalized preclosed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy generalized preclosed set is fgpr-closed [12], by Theorem 3.12 spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.15: In example 3.5, the fuzzy set λ3 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy generalized preclosed set in X.

Theorem 3.16: Every fuzzy generalized closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy generalized closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy generalized closed set is fgpr-closed [6], by Theorem 3.14 spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.17: In example 3.5, the fuzzy set λ3 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy generalized closed set in X.

Theorem 3.18: Every fuzzy semi-pre closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy semi-pre closed set is fgsp-closed, by Theorem 3.10 spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.19: Let X = {a, b, c}, λ1 = {(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)}, λ2 = {(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.2)} and λ3 = {(a, 0.2), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)} be fuzzy sets of X. Let τ = {0, λ1, λ2, 1}, then λ3 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in X.

Theorem 3.20: Every fuzzy pre semi closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy pre semi closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy pre semi closed set is fgsp-closed set, by Theorem 3.10 spcl(μ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.21: Let X = {a, b, c}, λ1 = {(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)}, λ2 = {(a, 0.1), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)} and λ3 = {(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.2)} be fuzzy sets of X. Let τ = {0, λ1, λ2, 1}, then λ2 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in X.

Theorem 3.22: Every fuzzy semi-closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy semi-closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy semi-closed set is semi-pre-closed set, by Theorem 3.18 spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.23: Let X = {a, b, c}, λ1 = {(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)} and λ2 = {(a, 0.2), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)} be fuzzy sets of X. Let τ = {0, λ1, 1}, then λ2 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy semi-closed set in X.

Theorem 3.24: Every fuzzy semi-pre-generalized closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy semi-pre-generalized closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy semi-pre-generalized closed set is fuzzy semi-pre-closed set, by Theorem 3.10 spcl(μ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.25: In example 3.11, the fuzzy set α is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy semi-pre-generalized closed set in X.

Theorem 3.26: Every fuzzy regular closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy regular closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Suppose that λ ≤ μ, where μ is fuzzy regular open in X. Since every fuzzy regular closed set is a fuzzy closed set, by Theorem 3.2 spcl(λ) ≤ μ. Hence λ is a fgspr-closed set in X.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.
Example 3.27: Let X = {a, b, c}, λ1 = {(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)}, λ2 = {(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.2)} and λ3 = {(a, 0.1), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)} be fuzzy sets of X. Let τ = {0, λ1, λ2, 1}, then λ3 is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy regular closed set in X.

Theorem 3.28: Every fuzzy generalized semi-closed set is a fgspr-closed set in X.
**Proof:** Let \( \lambda \) be a fuzzy generalized semi-closed set in a fuzzy topological space \((X, \tau)\). Suppose that \( \lambda \leq \mu \), where \( \mu \) is fuzzy regular open in \( X \). Since every fuzzy semi-closed set is a fuzzy generalized semi-closed set \([6]\) and every fuzzy generalized semi-closed set is a fgsp-closed set, by Theorem 3.10 \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu \). Hence \( \lambda \) is a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.29:** Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)\} \), \( \lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.2)\} \) and \( \lambda_3 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.2), (c, 0.1)\} \) be fuzzy sets of \( X \). Let \( \tau = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1\} \), then \( \lambda_3 \) is a fgsp-closed set but not a fuzzy generalized semi-closed set in \( X \).

**Theorem 3.30:** Every generalized fuzzy semi-closed set is a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

**Proof:** Let \( \lambda \) be a generalized fuzzy semi-closed set in a fuzzy topological space \((X, \tau)\). Suppose that \( \lambda \leq \mu \), where \( \mu \) is fuzzy regular open in \( X \). Since every fuzzy semi-closed set is a generalized fuzzy semi-closed set \([15]\) and every generalized fuzzy semi-closed set is a fgsp-closed set, by Theorem 3.10 \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu \). Hence \( \lambda \) is a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

**Example 3.31:** In example 3.27, the fuzzy set \( \lambda_3 \) is a fgsp-closed set but not a generalized fuzzy semi-closed set in \( X \).

**Theorem 3.32:** In a fuzzy topological space \((X, \tau)\), if a fuzzy set \( \lambda \) is both fuzzy regular open and fgsp-closed, then \( \lambda \) is fuzzy semi-pre closed.

**Proof:** Suppose a fuzzy set \( \lambda \) of a fuzzy topological space \((X, \tau)\) is both regular open and fgsp-closed. Let \( \lambda \leq \mu \), where \( \mu \) is fuzzy regular open in \( X \). This implies that \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \lambda \), since \( \lambda \) is a fgsp-closed set. Also we have, \( \lambda \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \), which implies that \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) = \lambda \). Hence \( \lambda \) is a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in \( X \).

**Theorem 3.33:** If a fuzzy set \( \lambda \) is fgsp-closed in \( X \) and \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \land (1 - \text{spcl}(\lambda)) = 0 \) then there is no non zero fuzzy regular closed set \( \mu \) such that \( \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \land (1 - \lambda) \).

**Proof:** Suppose \( \mu \) is any fuzzy regular closed set in \( X \) such that \( \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \land (1 - \lambda) \). Now \( \mu \leq 1 - \lambda \), this implies that \( \lambda \leq 1 - \mu \), where \( 1 - \mu \) is fuzzy regular open in \( X \). Then \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \land (1 - \mu) \leq 0 \), as \( \lambda \) is fgsp-closed set. This implies that \( \mu \leq 1 - \text{spcl}(\lambda) \). Thus \( \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \) and \( \mu \leq 1 - \text{spcl}(\lambda) \). Therefore \( \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \land (1 - \text{spcl}(\lambda)) = 0 \). Thus \( \mu = 0 \). Hence the result is proved.

**Theorem 3.34:** If a fuzzy set \( \lambda \) is fgsp-closed in \( X \) such that \( \lambda \leq \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \), then \( \mu \) is also a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

**Proof:** Let \( \gamma \) be a fuzzy regular open set in \( X \) such that \( \mu \leq \gamma \). Then \( \lambda \leq \gamma \). Since \( \lambda \) is fgsp-closed set, then by definition, \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu \). By hypothesis, \( \mu \leq \text{spcl}(\lambda) \), so \( \text{spcl}(\mu) \leq \text{spcl}(\text{spcl}(\lambda)) = \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \mu \leq \gamma \). (i.e) \( \text{spcl}(\mu) \leq \gamma \). Hence \( \mu \) is a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

**Theorem 3.35:** The union of any two fgsp-closed sets is a fgsp-closed set.

**Proof:** Let \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) be fgsp-closed sets in a fuzzy topological space \( X \). To prove that \( \lambda \lor \mu \) is a fgsp-closed set. Let \( \lambda \lor \mu \leq \gamma \), where \( \gamma \) be fuzzy regular open in \( X \). Then \( \lambda \leq \gamma \), \( \mu \leq \gamma \) and so \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \leq \gamma \), \( \text{spcl}(\mu) \leq \gamma \) as \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are fgsp-closed sets. This implies that \( \text{spcl}(\lambda) \lor \text{spcl}(\mu) \leq \gamma \). (i.e) \( \text{spcl}(\lambda \lor \mu) \leq \gamma \). Hence \( \lambda \lor \mu \) is a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

**Remark 3.36:** The intersection of any two fgsp-closed sets in a fuzzy topological space \( X \) is not necessarily fgsp-closed as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.37:** Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.5)\} \), \( \lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.9), (c, 0.5)\} \) and \( \lambda_3 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.8)\} \) be fuzzy sets of \( X \). Let \( \tau = \{0, \lambda_1, 1\} \), then \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are fgsp-closed set but \( \lambda_2 \land \lambda_3 \) is not a fgsp-closed set in \( X \).

**Remark 3.38:** From the above results we get the following diagram.
IV. Fuzzy Generalized Semi Preregular Open Sets

Definition 4.1: A fuzzy set $\lambda$ of a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called fuzzy generalized semi preregular open (briefly fgsp-r-open) set if its complement $1 - \lambda$ is fgsp-r-closed set.

Theorem 4.2: A fuzzy set $\lambda$ of a fuzzy topological space $X$ is fgsp-r-open iff $\mu \leq \text{spint}(\lambda)$, whenever $\mu$ is fuzzy regular closed set and $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Proof: Suppose $\lambda$ is fgsp-r-open set in a fuzzy topological space $X$. Then $1 - \lambda$ is fgsp-r-closed set in $X$. Let $\mu$ be fuzzy regular closed in $X$ and $\mu \leq \lambda$. Then $1 - \lambda \leq 1 - \mu$, where $1 - \mu$ is fuzzy regular open. Since $1 - \lambda$ is a fgsp-r-closed set, we have $\text{spcl}(1 - \lambda) \leq 1 - \mu$. This implies that $1 - (1 - \mu) \leq 1 - \text{spcl}(1 - \lambda)$. (i.e) $\mu \leq \text{spint}(\lambda)$ as $\text{spcl}(1 - \lambda) = 1 - \text{spint}(\lambda)$ (by Lemma 1.5 [11]).

Conversely, assume that $\mu \leq \text{spint}(\lambda)$, whenever $\mu \leq \lambda$ and $\mu$ is fuzzy regular closed set in a fuzzy topological space $X$. Let $1 - \lambda \leq \gamma$, where $\gamma$ is fuzzy regular open set in $X$. Then $1 - \gamma \leq \lambda$, where $1 - \gamma$ is fuzzy regular closed set in $X$. This implies that $1 - \gamma \leq \text{spint}(\lambda)$. (i.e) $1 - \text{spint}(\lambda) \leq 1 - (1 - \gamma)$. (i.e) $\text{spint}(1 - \lambda) \leq \gamma$. Hence $1 - \lambda$ is fgsp-r-closed set and so $\lambda$ is fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

Theorem 4.3: Every fuzzy open (fp-open, fs-open, frg-open, fgsp-open, fgpr-open, fg-open, fps-open, fs-open, fgsp-open, fr-open, fgs-open, gfs-open) set is a fgsp-r-open set.

Proof: Let $\lambda$ be fuzzy open (fp-open, fs-open, frg-open, fgsp-open, fgpr-open, fg-open, fps-open, fs-open, fgsp-open, fr-open, fgs-open, gfs-open) set in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Then $1 - \lambda$ is fuzzy closed set in $X$. And so $1 - \lambda$ is fgsp-r-closed set in $X$ by Theorem 3.2 (3.4, 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.14, 3.16, 3.18, 3.20, 3.22, 3.24, 3.26, 3.28, 3.30). Hence $\lambda$ is a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

The following examples show that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

Example 4.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.8), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.3)\}$ and $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.2)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_2, 1\}$, then $\lambda_1$ is a fgsp-r-set but not a fuzzy open set in $X$.

Example 4.5: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.2)\}$ and $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.2)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_2, 1\}$, then $\lambda_1$ is a fgsp-r-open set but not a fp-open set and a fs-open set in $X$.

Example 4.6: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.4), (c, 1)\}$ and $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.2)\}$ and $\lambda_3 = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 1), (c, 0.4)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1\}$, then $\lambda_3$ is a fgsp-r-open set but not a fuzzy open set in $X$.

Example 4.7: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}$, $\beta = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$, $\gamma = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$, $\eta = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$ and $\mu = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$, $\gamma = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$, $\eta = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$ and $\mu = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$. Then $\mu$ is a fgsp-r-open set but not a fgsp-r-open set, a fgsp-r-open set, a fgsp-r-open set, a fgsp-r-open set, a fgs-open set, a fs-open set and a fgs-open set in $X$.

Example 4.8: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.8)\}$ and $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.2)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, 1\}$, then $\lambda_2$ is a fgsp-r-open set but not a fs-open set, a fp-set and a fuzzy regular open set in $X$.

Theorem 4.9: If $\text{spint}(\lambda) \leq \mu \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda$ is fgsp-r-open, $\mu$ is a fgsp-r-open set.

Proof: We have $\text{spint}(\lambda) \leq \mu \leq \lambda$, then $1 - \lambda \leq 1 - \mu \leq 1 - \text{spint}(\lambda)$, (i.e) $1 - \lambda \leq 1 - \mu \leq \text{spcl}(1 - \lambda)$ and since $1 - \lambda$ is a fgsp-r-closed set and by Theorem 3.30, we have $1 - \mu$ is a fgsp-r-closed set in $X$. Hence $\mu$ is a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

Theorem 4.10: The intersection of any two fgsp-r-open set is a fgsp-r-open set.

Proof: Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be fgsp-r-open set in a fuzzy topological space $X$. To prove that $\lambda \wedge \mu$ is fgsp-r-open set. Let $\gamma \leq \lambda \wedge \mu$, where $\gamma$ is fuzzy regular closed in $X$. Then $\gamma \leq \lambda$ and $\gamma \leq \mu$ and so $\gamma \leq \text{spint}(\lambda) \wedge \text{spint}(\mu)$ as $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are fgsp-r-open sets. This implies that $\gamma \leq \text{spint}(\lambda) \wedge \text{spint}(\mu) = \text{spint}(\lambda \wedge \mu)$. (i.e) $\gamma \leq \text{spint}(\lambda \wedge \mu)$. Hence $\lambda \wedge \mu$ is a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

Remark 4.11: The union of any two fgsp-r-open sets in a fuzzy topological space $X$ is not necessarily fgsp-r-open as seen from the following example.

Example 4.12: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.2)\}$, $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.5)\}$ and $\lambda_3 = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 1), (c, 0.4)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, 1\}$, then $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ are fgsp-r-open sets but $\lambda_2 \vee \lambda_3$ is not a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

Theorem 4.13: If a fuzzy set $\lambda$ is fgsp-r-closed set and $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \wedge [1 - \text{spcl}(\lambda)] = 0$ then $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \wedge (1 - \lambda)$ is a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

Proof: Let $\lambda$ be fgsp-r-closed set in a fuzzy topological space $X$. Let $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \wedge (1 - \lambda)$ and $\mu$ is fuzzy regular closed set in $X$. By Theorem 3.31, $\mu$ is zero and so $\mu \leq \text{spint}(\text{spcl}(\lambda) \wedge (1 - \lambda))$. By Theorem 4.2, $\text{spcl}(\lambda) \wedge (1 - \lambda)$ is a fgsp-r-open set in $X$.

V. Fgsp-r-Closure and Fgsp-r-Interior

In this section, fgsp-r-closure (fgsp-cl) and fgsp-r-interior (fgsp-int) of a fuzzy set is defined as follows.

Definition 5.1: For any fuzzy set $\lambda$ in any fuzzy topological space, $\text{fgsp-cl}(\lambda) = \Lambda(\{\mu: \mu \text{ is a fgsp-r-closed set and } \lambda \leq \mu\})$
Theorem 5.10: Let λ be any fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Then fgspr-cl(1 – λ) = 1 – fgspr-cl(λ) and fgspr-int(1 – λ) = 1 – fgspr-cl(λ).

Proof: We know that a fgspr-open set μ ≤ λ is precisely the complement of a fgspr-closed set 1 – μ ≥ 1 – λ, and thus fgspr-int(λ) = V{μ: μ is a fgspr-open set and λ ≥ μ} = fgspr-int(λ) = V{1 – μ : 1 – μ is a fgspr-closed set and 1 – μ ≥ 1 – λ} = 1 – fgspr-cl(1 – λ). Hence fgspr-cl(1 – λ) = 1 – fgspr-cl(λ).

Replacing λ by 1 – λ in the previous result we get, fgspr-cl(λ) = 1 – fgspr-int(1 – λ). Thus fgspr-int(1 – λ) = 1 – fgspr-cl(λ).

Theorem 5.3: In a fuzzy topological space (X, τ), a fuzzy set λ is fgspr-closed set then λ = fgspr-cl(λ).

Proof: Let λ be a fgspr-closed set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Then we have fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ λ. But λ ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) always. Therefore λ = fgspr-cl(λ).

Theorem 5.4: In a fuzzy topological space X the following results hold for fgspr-closure.

(i) fgspr-cl(0) = 0, fgspr-cl(1) = 1
(ii) λ ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ f-cl(λ)
(iii) fgspr-cl(fgspr-cl(λ)) = fgspr-cl(λ)

Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ).

(i) Obvious.
(ii) Every fuzzy closed set is a fgspr-closed set. By Theorem 3.2, fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ f-cl(λ) and by definition 5.1, λ ≤ fgspr-cl(λ). Hence λ ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ f-cl(λ).
(iii) By Theorem 5.3, fgspr-cl(fgspr-cl(λ)) = fgspr-cl(λ), since fgspr-cl(λ) is fgspr closed.

Theorem 5.5: In a fuzzy topological space X the following results hold for fgspr-closure.

(i) fgspr-cl(μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) if λ ≤ μ
(ii) fgspr-cl(λ) ∨ fgspr-cl(μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ ∨ μ)
(iii) fgspr-cl(λ ∧ μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) ∧ fgspr-cl(μ)

Proof: Let λ and μ be fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ).

(i) Since λ ≤ μ, a fgspr-closed set containing μ, contains λ also. Therefore fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ fgspr-cl(μ).
(ii) Let λ ≤ μ ∨ μ and μ ≤ λ ∨ μ. This implies that fgspr-cl(λ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ ∨ μ) and fgspr-cl(μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ ∨ μ) by (i). Hence fgspr-cl(λ) ∨ fgspr-cl(μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ ∨ μ).
(iii) Let λ ∨ μ ≤ λ and λ ∧ μ ≤ μ. This implies that fgspr-cl(λ ∨ μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) and fgspr-cl(λ ∧ μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(μ) by (i). Hence fgspr-cl(λ ∧ μ) ≤ fgspr-cl(λ) ∧ fgspr-cl(μ).

Remark 5.6: For any two fuzzy sets λ and μ, fgspr-cl(λ) = fgspr-cl(μ) does not imply that λ = μ. This is shown by the following example.

Example 5.7: Let X = {a, b, c}, α = {(a, 0), (b, 0), (c, 1)}, β = {(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)}, λ = {(a, 0), (b, 0), (c, 1)} and μ = {(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 1)} be fuzzy sets of X. Let τ = {α, β, [1]} then fgspr-cl(λ) = fgspr-cl(μ) = 1. It follows that, fgspr-cl(λ) = fgspr-cl(μ) but λ ≠ μ.

Theorem 5.8: In a fuzzy topological space (X, τ), a fuzzy set λ is fgspr-open iff λ = fgspr-cl(λ).

Proof: Let λ be a fgspr-open set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ). Then we have λ ≤ fgspr-int(λ). But fgspr-int(λ) ≤ λ always. Therefore λ = fgspr-int(λ).

Suppose that λ = fgspr-int(λ) and by definition 5.1, fgspr-int(λ) is a fgspr-open set. Then λ is a fgspr-open set in X.

Theorem 5.9: In a fuzzy topological space X the following results hold for fgspr-interior.

(i) fgspr-int(0) = 0, fgspr-int(1) = 1
(ii) f-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ) ≤ λ
(iii) fgspr-int(fgspr-int(λ)) = fgspr-int(λ)

Proof: Let λ be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ).

(i) Obvious.
(ii) Every fuzzy open set is a fgspr-open set. By Theorem 4.3, f-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ) and by definition 5.1, fgspr-int(λ) ≤ λ. Hence f-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ) ≤ λ.
(iii) By Theorem 5.8, fgspr-int(fgspr-int(λ)) = fgspr-int(λ), since fgspr-int(λ) is fgspr open.

Theorem 5.10: In a fuzzy topological space X the following results hold for fgspr-interior.

(i) fgspr-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(μ) if λ ≤ μ
(ii) fgspr-int(λ) ∨ fgspr-int(μ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ ∨ μ)
(iii) fgspr-int(λ ∨ μ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ) ∧ fgspr-int(μ)

Proof: Let λ and μ be fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ).

(i) Since λ ≤ μ, a fgspr-open set contained in λ is also contained in μ. Therefore fgspr-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(μ).
(ii) Let λ ≤ μ ∨ μ and μ ≤ λ ∨ μ. This implies that fgspr-int(λ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ ∨ μ) and fgspr-int(μ) ≤ fgspr-int(μ ∨ μ) by (i). Hence fgspr-int(λ) ∨ fgspr-int(μ) ≤ fgspr-int(λ ∨ μ)
(iii) Let $\lambda \wedge \mu \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda \wedge \mu \leq \mu$. This implies that $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda)$ and $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\mu)$ by (i). Hence $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda) \wedge \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\mu)$

**Remark 5.11:** For any two fuzzy sets $\lambda$ and $\mu$, $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\mu)$ does not imply that $\lambda = \mu$. This is shown by the following example.

**Example 5.12:** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\alpha = \{(a, 0), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$, $\beta = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$, $\lambda = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}$ and $\mu = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, \alpha, \beta, 1\}$, then $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\mu) = 0$. It follows that, $\text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-} \text{int}(\mu)$ but $\lambda \neq \mu$.

**VI. Fgspr – frontier of a set**

**Definition 6.1:** $\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$ is defined to be the $\text{fgspr}$-frontier of $\lambda$ in a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ and is denoted by $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$.

Some basic properties of $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$ are proved in the following:

**Theorem 6.2:** In a fuzzy topological space $X$ the following results hold

(i) $\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \lor \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$

(ii) $\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$

(iii) $\text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = 0$

(iv) $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) 
\land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)$

**Proof:** By definition of $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$, we have

(i) $\text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \lor \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \lor [\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)] = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda)$.

(ii) $\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land [\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)] = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$.

(iii) $\text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$.

(iv) $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$.

**Theorem 6.3:** In a fuzzy topological space $X$ the following results hold

(i) $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-fr}(1 - \lambda)$

(ii) If $\lambda$ is $\text{fgspr}$ open then $\lambda \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = 0$

(iii) $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda$ is $\text{fgspr}$-open as well as $\text{fgspr}$-closed.

**Proof:** By definition of $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$, we have

(i) $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land [1 - \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)] = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)$ as by theorem 5.2.

(ii) Let $\lambda$ be a $\text{fgspr}$ open set in fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$. Then $\lambda = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$. Now $\lambda \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = 0$, as by Theorem 6.2. Hence the proof.

(iii) Let $\lambda$ be a $\text{fgspr}$ open set and $\text{fgspr}$ closed set in a fuzzy topological space $X$. This implies that $\lambda = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda)$. Then $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) = 0$. Hence the proof.

**Theorem 6.4:** In a fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ the following properties hold for $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$

(i) $\text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) = \lambda \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda)$

(ii) $1 - \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) \lor \text{fgspr-int}(1 - \lambda)$

**Proof:**

(i) Since $\text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)$ by theorem 6.2. Therefore $\lambda \land \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = \lambda \land [\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)] = \lambda \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda) \lor [\lambda \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)] = \lambda \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda) \lor \lambda \land [1 - \text{fgspr-int}(1 - \lambda)] = \lambda \land \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda) = \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$ as by theorem 5.2.

(ii) $1 - \text{fgspr-fr}(\lambda) = 1 - [\text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \land \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)] = 1 - \text{fgspr-cl}(\lambda) \lor [1 - \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)] = \text{fgspr-int}(1 - \lambda) \lor [1 - \text{fgspr-cl}(1 - \lambda)] = \text{fgspr-int}(1 - \lambda) \lor \text{fgspr-int}(1 - \lambda) \lor \text{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$ as by theorem 5.2.

**VII. Fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and Fuzzy semi prerregular $T'_{1/2}$ space**

**Definition 7.1:** A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space if every $\text{fgspr}$-closed set is a fuzzy semi-pre closed set.

**Theorem 7.2:** A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space iff every $\text{fgspr}$-open set is a fuzzy semi-pre open set in $X$.

**Proof:** Suppose $X$ is a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space. Let $\mu$ be $\text{fgspr}$-open set in $X$. Then $1 - \mu$ is a $\text{fgspr}$-closed set in $X$. By Definition 7.1, $1 - \mu$ is a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in $X$. Therefore $\mu$ is a fuzzy semi-pre open set in $X$. 
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Conversely, assume that every fgspr-open set in $X$ is a fuzzy semi-pre open set in $X$. Let $\gamma$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. Then $1 - \gamma$ is a fgspr-open set in $X$. By hypothesis, $1 - \gamma$ is a fuzzy semi-pre open set in $X$. Therefore $\gamma$ is a fuzzy semi-pre closed set in $X$. Hence $X$ is fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Theorem 7.3:** Every fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space is fuzzy pre semi $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Proof:** Let $X$ be a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and let $\gamma$ be fuzzy pre semi closed set in $X$. By Theorem 3.20, $\gamma$ is a fgspr-closed set in $X$ and so $\gamma$ is a fuzzy semi pre closed set in $X$. Hence $X$ is fuzzy pre semi $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Theorem 7.4:** Every fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space is fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Proof:** Let $X$ be a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and let $\gamma$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. By Theorem 3.10, $\gamma$ is a fgspr-closed set in $X$ and so $\gamma$ is a fuzzy semi pre closed set in $X$. Hence $X$ is fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Theorem 7.5:** Every fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space is fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/3}$ space.

**Proof:** Let $X$ be a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and let $\gamma$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. By Theorem 3.10, $\gamma$ is a fgspr-closed set in $X$ and so $\gamma$ is a fuzzy semi pre closed set in $X$. Every fuzzy semi-pre closed set is a fuzzy pre semi closed set. Hence $X$ is fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/3}$ space.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorems is not true.

**Example 7.6:** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\alpha = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}$, $\beta = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 1)\}$, $\gamma = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, then $\beta$ is fuzzy semi closed, fgspr-closed and fuzzy semi-pre closed in $X$. Hence $(X, \tau)$ is fuzzy pre semi $T_{1/2}$ space, fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$ space and fuzzy semi pre $T_{1/2}$ space. Now $\gamma$ is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy semi pre closed set in $X$. Therefore $(X, \tau)$ is not fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Definition 7.7:** A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space if every fgspr-closed set is a fuzzy closed set.

**Theorem 7.8:** A fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau)$ is a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space iff every fgspr-open set is a fuzzy open set in $X$.

**Proof:** Suppose $X$ is a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space. Let $\mu$ be fgspr-open set in $X$. Then $1 - \mu$ is a fgspr-closed set in $X$. By Definition 7.7, $1 - \mu$ is a fuzzy closed set in $X$. Therefore $\mu$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$.

Conversely, assume that every fgspr-open set in $X$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$. Let $\gamma$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. Then $1 - \gamma$ is a fgspr-open set in $X$. By hypothesis, $1 - \gamma$ is a fuzzy open set in $X$. Therefore $\gamma$ is a fuzzy closed set in $X$. Hence $X$ is fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Theorem 7.9:** Every fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space is fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Proof:** Let $X$ be a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and let $\lambda$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. By Definition 7.7, $\lambda$ is a fuzzy closed set in $X$. Every fuzzy closed set is fuzzy semi-pre closed set and so $\lambda$ is fuzzy semi-pre closed set in $X$. Hence $X$ is fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Theorem 7.10:** Every fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space is fuzzy semi $T_{1/2}$ space.

**Proof:** Let $X$ be a fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and let $\lambda$ be fgspr-closed set in $X$. By Theorem 3.16, $\lambda$ is a fgspr-closed set in $X$ and so $\lambda$ fuzzy closed in $X$ by Definition 7.7. Hence $X$ is fuzzy $T_{1/2}$ space.

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorems is not true.

**Example 7.11:** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\alpha = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}$, $\beta = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 1)\}$, $\gamma = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}$ be fuzzy sets of $X$. Let $\tau = \{0, 1\}$, then $\beta$ is fgspr-closed, fg-closed, fuzzy semi-pre closed and fuzzy closed in $X$. Hence $(X, \tau)$ is fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space and fuzzy $T_{1/2}$ space. Now $\gamma$ is a fgspr-closed set but not a fuzzy closed set in $X$. Therefore $(X, \tau)$ is not fuzzy semi prerregular $T_{1/2}$ space.
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