International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention (IJHSSI)
ISSN (Online): 2319 – 7722, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 7714
www.ijhssi.org ||Volume 15 Issue 8 || August 2026 || PP. 145-149

The Enron Scandal and the Nigerian Corporate Environment; A Hibernating Monster?

[1]Dawodu Hakeem O., *[2]Omojaro Anthony O. &[3]Taiwo Akeem A.
[1]Department of General Studies, Federal University of Technology, Ilaro, Ogun State
[2]Department of Business Administration, Federal University of Technology, Ilaro, Ogun State
**Corresponding author*

Abstract:
The collapse of Enron Corporation continues to be a useful case reference for research on corporate governance failure, financial manipulation, audit failure and institutional shortcomings. This paper explores the case of Enron in the Nigerian corporate setting and seeks to determine if the issues that created the environment for the scandal have been adequately dealt with, or remain a latent corporate monster. A qualitative documentary research design was employed for the study. Peer reviewed literature on the case of Enron, and corporate governance, financial reporting, earnings management, auditing and corporate accountability in Nigeria were analysed. The study revealed four sets of interdependent corporate maladies: corporate governance failure, corporate reporting schemes-vulnerable to earnings management, audit and regulatory failure, and the underlining institutional environment that could facilitate corporate abuse. The evidence further revealed that existence of formal corporate governance institutions does not necessarily translate into effective corporate governance. The paper theorised these institutional maladies that continue to threaten corporate environments as a hibernating corporate monster that can be kept at bay if regulatory control, ethics, board effectiveness and internal control systems are well utilised and monitored. The paper recommends among others, improvement in board independence, audit committee effectiveness, regulatory controls, ethical standards, whistle blowing systems and continuous governance assessment to prevent another Enron in Nigeria.

***Keywords**: Enron scandal, corporate governance, financial reporting, earnings management, corporate fraud, Nigeria, regulatory oversight*

Date of Submission: 11-08-2026 Date of acceptance: 24-08-2026

I. INTRODUCTION

The failure of Enron Corporation in 2001 is one of the most influential corporate governance failures in modern business history. While the reasons behind Enron scandal unfolded within the US context, the importance of the scandal is not only limited to the conditions of a corporation as it revealed that managerial opportunism, weak board monitoring, ineffective internal controls, duality of interests, and failed financial disclosures can interact to hide corporate distress. Therefore, the failure of Enron corporation showed that formal governance framework may offer little safeguard to directors who are oblivious to the corporate risk or internal control systems that are not effective (Deakin & Konzelmann, 2004; Gillan & Martin, 2007). Hence, the scandal remains an important reference to determine whether the mechanisms of corporate governance can act proactively or reactively in order to deter corporate misconduct.

The Enron saga is particularly relevant to developing economies where weaknesses in regulating enforcement of financial reporting, professional ethics and corporate governance might provide opportunities for crimes, similar to those committed in the Enron saga. Empirical evidence from Nigeria's corporate environment points to the fact that issues associated with accounting fraud and governance failures are not entirely unlikely. Bakre (2007), for instance, recorded collusive activities among accountants, external auditors, corporate management and the board of directors involving the falsification of corporate accounts and exaggeration of corporate accounts resulting in investors' losses and corporate distress. Recent evidence from Nigeria also shows that governance mechanisms do not prevent Nigerian listed firms from engaging in earnings-management practices (Okougbo & Okike, 2015; Paul et al., 2023).

The Nigerian experience of corporate failures adds weight to this concern. Studies into Nigerian bank governance have found a large gap between accepted standards and practice, pointing to the weaknesses in enforcement and institutional capacity (Olayiwola, 2010). Findings regarding audit committees too have demonstrated that governance mechanisms can have an effect on quality of financial reporting, but that the effects depend on the characteristics and actual functioning of governance mechanisms (Kantudu & Alhassan, 2022). Therefore, the key message from Enron cannot simply be that corporate scandals can happen, but that governance can be ineffective when compliance is merely political formalism.

Problem Statement

More than 20 years after Enron, the question for Nigeria is whether the relevant factors that made Enron possible have been eradicated or whether they have been simply "hibernating". The continued presence of issues concerning financial reporting, earnings management, audit deficiencies and uneven corporate governance effectiveness suggests that the risk of the Enron fiasco is still hidden in the Nigerian corporate sector (Bakre, 2007; Paul et al., 2023). Thus, the metaphor of a *hibernating monster* simply highlights the prospect that governance and corporate fraud problems may exist unnoticed in the Nigerian environment until the right conditions, such as organizational, regulatory and economic factors, are present. The paper hence explores the implications of the Enron experience for Nigeria and questions if corporate governance and financial reporting regimes are adequate enough to prevent an occurrence of an Enron type crisis.

Research Questions

The study is guided by the following questions:

i. What were the key corporate governance and financial reporting failures that underpinned the Enron scandal?
ii. How far can the corporate governance failures exposed by Enron be observed in Nigeria?
iii. Which institutional and regulatory reasons, may keep corporate misconduct hidden in Nigeria?
iv. How can the Enron experience provide lessons that would improve corporate governance, accountability and financial reporting in Nigeria?

II. METHODOLOGY

The research methodology employed was qualitative, documentary research approach. This approach was used because the study aspires to interpret the events of the scandal as regard corporate governance breakdown, financial reporting, regulatory and institutional environment, an approach that does not require a statistical test of the relationships amongst variables. Besides, documental analysis is a research methodology used to methodically analyze existing evidence and literature on corporate governance in other to identify common models and lessons (Bowen, 2009).

All data are solely collected from secondary sources through journal articles, which have undergone peer review, and have studied the existing Enron scandal, corporate governance, financial statement fraud, auditing, earnings management and corporate accountability in Nigeria. Relevant existing research in Nigeria finds that financial statement fraud continues to be an empirical issue among listed companies, and the ownership structure and other board-related governance elements affect the probability of financial statement fraud differently (Falope et al., 2024).

The method of thematic content analysis was used to analyse the relevant literature, by emphasizing on four critical but inter-related themes, namely: (1) failure in corporate governance, (2) financial reporting and manipulation of accounting information, (3) effectiveness of audit and regulation, and (4) institutional and moral accountability. The import of the empirical evidence from the Enron case was then contrasted with the empirical evidence gathered from Nigerian corporate governance researches in order to highlight points of convergence and divergence, as well as exploit the extent of exploitation to which the Nigerian corporate system is vulnerable. This method, thus, sets the stage for the evaluation of if Enron's corporate governance crisis was an old corporate disease, or simply a "hibernating monster" with the potentiality to repeat itself in Nigeria.

III. RESULTS

The analysis of the documentary evidence identified four overarching observations in the linkages between the Enron experience and the Nigerian corporate environment. These four overarching issues are (i) weaknesses in the corporate governance process, (ii) fragility in the financial reporting process, (iii) deficiency in the audit and monitoring process, and (iv) the prevalence of conditions in Nigeria that may encourage corporate indiscipline. On balance, the findings indicate that while Nigeria has built more formalized corporate governance arrangements, some of the underlying vulnerabilities that contributed to the Enron experience have yet to be eradicated.

3.1 Corporate Governance Remains a Critical Vulnerability

The first result shows that the strength of corporate governance in Nigeria depends on the practical effectiveness of governance mechanisms rather than their formal presence. Lately, evidence shows that governance mechanisms have proved to be ineffective in curtailing opportunistic financial reporting. Paul et al. (2023), for instance, found that corporate governance mechanisms as a whole were not equally effective in constraining earnings management in Nigerian listed firms. Latest evidence by Ibitomi et al. (2024), reiterates that the association between corporate governance mechanisms and earnings management remains an issue among Nigerian listed companies.

This is especially so in the case of the Enron experience, as the scandal was not just a result of accounting manipulation but also an indication of the inability of boards, managers, auditors and other control mechanisms from challenging managers' questionable decisions. The Nigerian evidence, thus, suggests that the existence of boards, audit committees and independent directors do not, in fact, lead to effective corporate monitoring.

3.2 Financial Reporting Vulnerability Persists

The second major finding relates to the persistent risk to financial reporting posed by the incentives for earnings management and abuse. Consistent with prior Nigerian research, recent domestic studies offer some evidence that governance attributes do affect the quality of financial reports, though in different ways for different firms and industries. Ihongu et al. (2025), for instance, focuses on 15 listed consumer-goods corporations over a period of 2018-2023, and discovers that all three variables representing ownership structure (ownership concentration, institutional investors and ownership concentration) had significant negative relationships with earnings management. Board size, board independence and audit committee meetings had significant negative relationships with discretionary accruals, the proxy for earnings management. The anomaly was the positive, though insignificant relationship between financial expertise of the audit committee and earnings management.

In the same vein, Chukwuma et al. (2025) analyzed listed Nigerian deposit money banks between 2014-2023, with an emphasis on the probability of financial-statement fraud. Concentrating on successive bank failures and bank mergers, the authors indicate that corporate-governance structure questions continue to be relevant with regard to the integrity of corporate financial reporting. Our results find an important correspondence with Enron: advanced reporting schemes may be compatible with possibilities of financial misstatement, when monitoring arrangements are weak.

3.3 Governance Mechanisms Produce Mixed Outcomes

The third finding is that Nigerian corporate governance mechanisms should not be viewed as being uniformly not functioning. As the effectiveness of the governance mechanisms tends to differ according to the governance mechanism, sector and how governance mechanisms are being implemented. For example, on a sample of listed Nigerian manufacturing firms, Olufemi (2025) established that board size has a significant negative impact on financial reporting quality while board independence is found to have an insignificant impact. This further indicates that adding more governance structures or more directors would not be a silver bullet for reporting quality failure.

The recent cross-country evidence from Nigeria and Ghana further supports the growing importance of governance in monitoring corporate earnings manipulation. Coleman et al. (2026) established the relationship between the governance mechanisms and accrual-based earnings management, based on a sample of 103 non-financial and non-insurance firms listed on Nigeria and Ghana stock exchanges over the period 2012-2022. The composite corporate governance measure shows a significant negative interaction with internationalization. Internationalization improve the quality of corporate governance mechanism which restrain from earnings management to prevent de-legitimation in the eyes of host-nations.

3.4 The "Hibernating Monster" Proposition

The last and most important finding was that the evidence did not support the proposition that the circumstances that lead to Enron-Type corporate failure were no longer prevalent in Nigeria. In fact, the evidence supports a more complex scenario where reforms in the regulatory and corporate governance environment has increased the controls in place for corporate regulation and reporting but shortcomings in the implementation of these reforms as well as issues of non-compliance, fraud and managerial opportunism persist.

Therefore, the notion of a "hibernating monster" is ultimately not only theoretically legitimate. The monster may not necessarily be in the making, an immediately Nigeria's proxy of Enron but it symbolizes the hidden peril associated with the formal operations of governance structures and the lack of accountability, independence, professional scepticism, transparence and regulatory implementation. Current empirical studies on earnings management and financial-statement fraud in Nigeria offer enough reasons to sustain watchfulness rather than complacency (Chukwuma et al., 2025; Ihongu et al., 2025; Olufemi, 2025).

IV. DISCUSSION

The results suggest that the major lesson of Enron for Nigeria is that corporate scandals cannot be banished entirely through regulation; rather, that the formal framework of corporate governance may prove pointless where enforcement, independence, transparency and ethics are near absent. This would be compatible with the initial literature on Enron which considered issues of board oversight, internal controls, auditing and managerial accountability and responsibility as cross-linked issues rather than isolated causative elements of corporate failure (Deakin & Konzelmann, 2004; Gillan & Martin, 2007).

Evidence from Nigeria also suggest that the problem is not only applicable. Recent researches have indicated evidence of significant relationships between governance mechanisms and the quality of financial reporting, although the effect does not equally impact on all the governance variables and corporate sectors studied. For example, Ihongu et al. (2025) found evidence indicating the impact of board size, board independence and audit committee meetings in reducing earnings management, while the level of financial expertise of the audit committee did not effectively constrain earnings management among Nigerian consumer-goods firms. Likewise, Olufemi (2025) evidence indicated that while board size had a statistically significant influence on financial reporting quality of Nigerian manufacturing firms, board independence was statistically insignificant. The evidence from the two studies should caution us that the mere existence of these mechanisms does not always attest the efficacy of the mechanisms.

Thus, the findings offer a qualified support for the conceptualization of Enron as a hibernating monster in Nigerian corporate setting. The empirical evidence under investigation does not show that Nigeria is inexorably heading to another Enron scandal, but it shows that the conducive conditions for earnings management and poor financial reporting still exist. Nigeria recent research still investigates corporate governance and earnings management (Ibitomi et al., 2024). To avoid a repeat of an Enron type scandal, governance that is driven by mere compliance should be replaced with genuine board independence, auditing, professional scepticism, transparent reporting and tough enforcement.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This research used the Enron scandal as a basis for exploring how vulnerable the Nigerian corporate landscape might be. The evidence shows that Nigeria has enhanced its corporate governance framework but uncertainties remain in the areas of financial reporting, board effectiveness, ownership structures and monitoring processes. Nigerian recent evidence suggests that corporate governance characteristics are still significant predictors of financial-statement fraud and earnings management in some sectors of the Nigerian corporate landscape (Chukwuma et al., 2025; Falope et al., 2024; Ihongu et al., 2025).

Thus, the study argues that Enron should not be viewed simply as a historical American corporate failure. It did, however, provide lessons applicable in Nigeria because it showed that governance structures can fail if boards are not fully cognizant of the risks facing corporate, and internal controls are ineffective (Deakin & Konzelmann, 2004). The "*hibernating monster*" metaphor, is thus a metaphor for a potential but not inevitable risk because corporate misconduct can be lurking where controls appear to work and emerge when institutional weaknesses, and managerial incentives, align.

As a result of the above findings of this study, the following recommendations have been proposed. Firstly, strengthen independent and competent board members by requiring directors to have suitable financial, accounting, risk-management and industry knowledge. Secondly, strengthening the effectiveness of the audit committee through increased independence, better financial expertise and ongoing review of unusual transactions and reporting practices.

Furthermore, to make regulations effective there is a need for corporate firms in Nigeria to enhance the regulatory implementation and the risk of successful sanctions for corporate governance abuse and false financial reporting should be increased to ensure timely and credible penalties.Furthermore, Nigerian corporate firms should build a healthy ethical corporate culture through strong corporate whistleblowing systems, executive accountability, professional ethics and protection of the moles who blow the whistle on corporate misconduct.

Finally, corporate firms in Nigeria should stop their compliance approach in monitoring. This is because the regulators and the corporate boards should focus on whether the existing governance mechanisms are operational rather than existing. This is important because the Nigerian evidence suggests that the governance mechanisms have varied effects on the quality of financial reporting (Ihongu et al., 2025).

The goal should not be to wait for the Nigerian monster to awaken, but to do away with the conditions that keep it in hibernation.

References

[1]. Bakre, O. M. (2007). The unethical practices of accountants and auditors and the compromising stance of professional bodies in the corporate world: Evidence from corporate Nigeria. *Accounting Forum, 31*(3), 277–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2007.06.001

[2]. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal, 9*(2), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

[3]. Chukwuma, O. E., Abdulkarim, S. A., & Abdullahi, M. A. (2025). Corporate governance attributes and the likelihood of fraud on financial statements of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *FUDMA Journal of Accounting and Finance Research, 3*(2), 138–153. https://doi.org/10.33003/fujafr-2025.v3i2.174.138-153

[4]. Coleman, M., Abdul-Rahaman, A., Takyi, L. N. & Anfom, K. (2026). Corporate governance and corporate earnings misconduct. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 75 (3), 973–1003, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2024-0343.

[5]. Deakin, S., & Konzelmann, S. J. (2004). Learning from Enron. *Corporate Governance: An International Review, 12*(2), 134–142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2004.00352.x

[6]. Falope, F. J., Ogala, I. I., & James, O. (2024). Corporate governance mechanism on the financial statement fraud among listed non-financial firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Global Accounting, 10*(1), 13–32.

[7]. Gillan, S. L., & Martin, J. D. (2007). Corporate governance post-Enron: Effective reforms, or closing the stable door? *Journal of Corporate Finance, 13*(5), 929–958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2007.03.008

[8]. Ibitomi, T., Akinleye, B. O., & Adinnu, P. O. (2024). Corporate governance and earnings management among listed companies in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research, 12*(11), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.37745/ejaafr.2013/vol12n11125

[9]. Ihongu, N. F., Iorpev, L., Gbulum, T. T., & Kwaghfan, A. (2025). Corporate governance mechanisms on financial reporting quality of listed consumer goods companies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 9*(7), 6209–6231. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.907000498

[10]. Kantudu, A. S., & Alhassan, I. (2022). Audit committee and financial reporting quality in listed nonfinancial firms in Nigeria. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, 11*(1), 6–14. https://doi.org/10.65453/ajbmr.v11i1.1106

[11]. Okougbo, P. O., & Okike, E. (2015). Corporate governance and earnings management: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Corporate Ownership & Control, 12*(4), 312–326. https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv12i4c2p7

[12]. Olayiwola, W. K. (2010). Practice and standard of corporate governance in the Nigerian banking industry. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 2*(4), 178–183. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v2n4p178

[13]. Olojede, P., Olayinka, E., & Adetula, D. (2023). Do corporate governance mechanisms restrain earnings management? Evidence from Nigeria. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics, 17*(5), 544–572. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBGE.2023.133117

[14]. Olufemi, G. O. (2025). Corporate governance mechanisms and financial reporting quality in Nigeria. *Journal of Global Accounting, 11(3), 86-116.*